

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 5 - Data Analytics/Social Sciences (Arnold, David Hall)
Winter 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 24
Number of Students Enrolled: 239

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

16 (66.7%):	Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%):	Agree
1 (4.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
2 (8.3%):	Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

6 (25.0%):	Yes
15 (62.5%):	No
3 (12.5%):	Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

8 (33.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%):	Agree
1 (4.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
12 (50.0%):	Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

13 (54.2%):	Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%):	Agree
5 (20.8%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (4.2%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

22 (91.7%):	Yes
2 (8.3%):	No
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

20 (83.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%):	Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

19 (79.2%):	Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

19 (79.2%):	Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%):	Agree
1 (4.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

13 (54.2%): Yes
8 (33.3%): No
3 (12.5%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

13 (54.2%): Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%): Agree
1 (4.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
6 (25.0%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

12 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%): Agree
1 (4.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
6 (25.0%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

15 (62.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%): Agree
4 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (4.2%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

20 (83.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (4.2%): Agree
2 (8.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

21 (87.5%):	Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Excellent!
- Ida was super knowledgeable about the material and very good at explaining concepts. She always explained WHY something was the way it was, which helped me understand it better. She also made sure to answer everyone's questions, even if she had to stay after class to do so.
- wonderful discussion sections. made you feel confident about the subject. thank you ida!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.