

Student Evaluations

UC San Diego, Department of Economics

Official UC San Diego student evaluations of teaching (SET) of Idaliya Grigoryeva as an Instructional Assistant, compiled across all UC San Diego sections. Each report is reproduced in full and unedited. Where a term had two lecture sections, both are included.

ECON 5: Introduction to Data Analysis for Economists (Prof. David Arnold)

Spring 2026	p. 2
Spring 2025	p. 10
Winter 2023	p. 15

ECON 190: Introduction to Research in Economics (Prof. Emanuel Vespa)

Winter 2026	p. 19
Summer 2025	p. 28
Winter 2025 (Sections A & B)	p. 32
Winter 2024	p. 40

GPEC 443: GIS & Spatial Data Analysis (graduate course, Prof. Gordon McCord)

Fall 2025	p. 44
Fall 2024	p. 50

ECON 100A: Intermediate Microeconomics (Prof. Melissa Famulari)

Summer 2024	p. 55
Summer 2023	p. 59
Spring 2023 (Section A)	p. 63
Spring 2023 (Section B)	p. 67

ECON 121: Applied Econometrics & Data (Prof. Tom Vogl)

Fall 2023	p. 71
Fall 2022	p. 75

ECON 171: Decisions under Uncertainty (Prof. Steven Levkoff)

Summer 2022	p. 79
-------------	-------



**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

Spring 2026 ECON 5 - Data Analytics/Social Sciences (Arnold, David Hall)

Enrollment: 283


Responses: 25 (8.83% response rate)

Scoring Methodology

A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96% Positive	4.52 ± 0.57 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	25 (100.00%)




2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96% Positive	4.52 ± 0.57 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	25 (100.00%)




3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.6 ± 0.49 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	25 (100.00%)




4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
72% Positive	4.58 ± 0.59 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	25 (100.00%)




5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
92% Positive	4.48 ± 0.64 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	25 (100.00%)



6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
95.8% Positive	4.5 ± 0.58 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	24 (96.00%)



No Response: 1

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

Always created a welcoming environment.

class discussions

Kept asking if we had questions and was very open to questions.

she helped clarify a lot of questions

The individual help created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

The instructional assistant created a welcoming and supportive environment. They were respectful and approachable during discussion sections.

Very welcoming environment and answered every question with lots of details which helped better understanding.

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

Always was willing to answer and clarify questions about the class materials. Made discussion sections very clear and made me feel more confident in what I was learning.

class participation

gave us time to work on the lab.

helped me solve all my software issues

I appreciate how you gave our discussion section some time to try and complete the lab assignment ourselves before going through the correct code.

Kept asking if we had questions and was very open to questions. Gave us time to answer the lab questions, and asked if we had questions about the questions. Went over step by step, well-explained.

Love her discussion section. Very well organized, effective and engaging. She's also very helpful!

The instructional assistant helped explain course material clearly and encouraged students to ask questions. Their support made the material easier to understand.

The review questions particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

Very clear in her explanation of the material, took the time to explain why we do certain codes and what they do as opposed to letting us copy off the key

Very vocal about certain topics that were relevant for each week, engaging.

When we'd have time to work with groups before coming together and discussing material, she'd walk around to answer questions as well during this time.

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

I think it went well.

more examples of stata codes.

teach more about the actually coding rather than just go over the lab

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?


gave us the answers if we needed help and ways to remember things. Also fun things that aren't covered in class that are just more helpful or efficient.

I am very grateful that Ida was my TA for this course. Ida's discussions sections were very informative and helpful and I felt like I had a better understand of the code from attending.


Thank you for your help this quarter!

Very good TA.


11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?



12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?




13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?




No Response: 1

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?




No Response: 1

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?**



No Response: 1

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?



No Response: 1

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 5 - Data Analytics/Social Sciences (Arnold, David Hall)
Spring 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 21
Number of Students Enrolled: 411

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree
4 (19.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

15 (71.4%): Strongly Agree
5 (23.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.8%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

16 (76.2%): Strongly Agree
5 (23.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

14 (66.7%): Strongly Agree
4 (19.0%): Agree
1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (9.5%): Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

14 (66.7%): Strongly Agree
6 (28.6%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.8%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

12 (57.1%): Strongly Agree
9 (42.9%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Allowed us to ask any question about the labs.
- Always asked for student feedback
- Had our class interact frequently and did her best to get participation out of the class
- Invited students to answer questions without being judged for being incorrect
- She was very kind and encouraged students to work with their peers to get the most out of lab.
- They definitely created a welcoming and supportive learning environment. They were very inclusive.
- yes

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Created an environment that allowed us to help each other.
- During office hours, their feedback was really helpful and allowed me to feel confident in course content
- Made us group up and work with others if we were stuck and went through the lab at the end of section which helped solve all of our answers. I really liked how she explained things.
- She teaches very well and maintains a very supportive style. She made all of the different code very applicable to understand. She also took her time to relate everything back to each other so as weeks went on we still had previous material fresh in our mind!
- was always willing to explain points or topics
- was extremely helpful in office hours
- Went over how to do the coding and how it works
- yes

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- all good
- I thought the course was amazing. I don't have any pressing issues that I would have wanted to be changed.
- Spend more time explaining the lab and show us how to do it.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

[No Responses]

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

20 (95.2%):	Major/Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Minor
0 (0.0%):	College General Education
0 (0.0%):	Elective
1 (4.8%):	Interest
0 (0.0%):	American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
0 (0.0%):	Graduate Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?

6 (30.0%):	Frequently
9 (45.0%):	Sometimes
4 (20.0%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never
1 (5.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

2 (10.0%):	Frequently
8 (40.0%):	Sometimes
3 (15.0%):	Seldom
6 (30.0%):	Never
1 (5.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?

0 (0.0%):	Frequently
5 (26.3%):	Sometimes
5 (26.3%):	Seldom
8 (42.1%):	Never
1 (5.3%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?

1 (5.0%): Frequently
5 (25.0%): Sometimes
5 (25.0%): Seldom
6 (30.0%): Never
3 (15.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

5 (26.3%): Frequently
3 (15.8%): Sometimes
3 (15.8%): Seldom
3 (15.8%): Never
5 (26.3%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 5 - Data Analytics/Social Sciences (Arnold, David Hall)
Winter 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 24
Number of Students Enrolled: 239

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

16 (66.7%):	Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%):	Agree
1 (4.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
2 (8.3%):	Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

6 (25.0%):	Yes
15 (62.5%):	No
3 (12.5%):	Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

8 (33.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%):	Agree
1 (4.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
12 (50.0%):	Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

13 (54.2%):	Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%):	Agree
5 (20.8%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (4.2%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

22 (91.7%):	Yes
2 (8.3%):	No
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

20 (83.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%):	Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

19 (79.2%):	Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

19 (79.2%):	Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%):	Agree
1 (4.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

13 (54.2%): Yes
8 (33.3%): No
3 (12.5%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

13 (54.2%): Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%): Agree
1 (4.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
6 (25.0%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

12 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%): Agree
1 (4.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
6 (25.0%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

15 (62.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%): Agree
4 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (4.2%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

20 (83.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (4.2%): Agree
2 (8.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

21 (87.5%):	Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Excellent!
- Ida was super knowledgeable about the material and very good at explaining concepts. She always explained WHY something was the way it was, which helped me understand it better. She also made sure to answer everyone's questions, even if she had to stay after class to do so.
- wonderful discussion sections. made you feel confident about the subject. thank you ida!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.



9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

Winter 2026 ECON 190 - Intro to Research in Economics (Vespa, Emanuel Ignacio)

Enrollment: 39


Responses: 26 (66.67% response rate)

Scoring Methodology

A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive 3.8% Negative	4.69 ± 0.67 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
92.3% Positive 3.8% Negative	4.69 ± 0.72 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive 3.8% Negative	4.81 ± 0.62 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
92.3% Positive 3.8% Negative	4.62 ± 0.74 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)




5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
96.2% Positive 3.8% Negative	4.77 ± 0.64 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)



6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	4.85 ± 0.36 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	26 (100.00%)



7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

allowing others to add to the lecture and talk about their own ideas.

Always easy to reach and easy to talk to. The workshops were super helpful and paired up the professor's teaching perfectly.

Always open to answering any questions and clearing up any confusions

Being a little less rigid in class (groups, timing discussions, etc) might help class be more of a group discussion and interactive class. I felt like you talked a lot at us, which was a little bothersome, and discouraged (at least me) to participate.

Comes off a bit strong. Tried to make class sessions engaging, but interactive tasks felt more like a chore than an actually valuable educational experience. Feedback on assignments was not prompt, often delayed, and vague.

Encouraged us to meet her for office hours

Ida had interest in our ideas for research and evaluated them individually, offering feedback when possible.

Ida provided me with feedback at multiple points throughout the quarter and was very responsive, both via email and in person. She is a very approachable person who clearly cares about helping students succeed.

Ida ran the class discussions smoothly and effectively. I liked how she walked around to interact with everyone

Open discussion times and one on one meetings created...

She is always welcoming and supporting with us during tutorials and office hours.

She is so nice, she is so considerate and helpful and always responds to messages extremely swiftly

Very constructive, very informed, very friendly.

Very kind, welcoming, and answered any and all questions timely and professionally

Very welcoming attitude and fostered a great learning environment. Always open to questions and very cognisant of enriching the experience for students.

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

Answered all my questions regarding the research paper, since I am entirely new to this sort of thing, it really helped me

During office hours, she gave me a lot of good advice

Encourages us to push ourselves but still always available to support us.

having one on one meetings actually helped develop the ideas I had and known how feasible the idea was

Ida gave really helpful feedback and her notes/slides/materials were very comprehensive. When I went to her office hours for one on one feedback she was very encouraging and gave me great directions on how to improve

Ida was very supportive throughout the quarter in helping me develop my proposal to later submit to ECON 191. She met with me outside of class to help workshop my ideas and always had prompt responses to my emails.

In depth discussion

Posted discussion notes!

She helped me on learning how to write a good proposal and I appreciated her help so much.

she helped us with workshops that helped me decide what research i wanted to pursue

She literally takes out her own time for all of us to meet on Tuesdays to go over contents. I don't know what more you can do

She particularly helped me learn the material and feel confident that I could succeed in the course. She created additional office hours to meet with students one-on-one and always encouraged me to reach out whenever I needed help. The extra materials she prepared—such as datasets and step-by-step guidance on how to develop research proposals—were extremely helpful. She consistently offered constructive advice and created a supportive environment that made me feel encouraged throughout the course and also generally graduate school preparation. Best TA I have had ever!

The TA stayed behind after class to answer any questions and was always available through email to help with class projects.

There wasn't much lecture time, but I think just asking us questions of our research proposal to break it down into smaller parts to make it more digestible.

Very communicative and helpful regarding feedback on course projects

Yes, it was very useful to talk to Ida and I got really good feedback about my work, the only thing I have a suggestion is the structure of the course because I think we did a lot of things but I was a little confused about time management and what we should do

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

Opening up more time for feedback!

Please just find another TA for this class. There was no relationship between the Discussion sections and Lecture whatsoever.

She was super engaging

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

I greatly appreciate the time in helping us this quarter and the guidance for the main topic proposal.


Ida was very approachable and friendly

She is literally the best TA I've ever had


Thank you for giving such detailed, helpful feedback on our proposal! Your guidance one on one was instrumental, and encouraged me to apply for 191.

Wants to TA for this class again next year. Please don't. Respectfully, I want future students to learn in a welcoming environment and have a truly engaging experience in this Intro to Economic Research class, which is not the case under this instructional assistant. Doesn't give feedback on time: says that the research proposal was due in Week 8 specifically so we would get feedback by Week 9 before the ECON 191 application deadline. She was not able to provide feedback by then, so at that point I feel like we could have gotten more time to work on the project.


11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?




12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?




13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?



14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL?**




15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?**



No Response: 1

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?



No Response: 1

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 190 - Intro to Research in Economics (Vespa, Emanuel Ignacio)
Summer Session II 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 5
Number of Students Enrolled: 18

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (20.0%): Agree
1 (20.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Incredibly friendly, Amazingly Kind, Very informative and educated on the topic

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Helped me reframe my proposal and make it more effective at targeting an unanswered space
- Ida held frequent office hours explaining in great detail the tasks for the class, and allowing us to find our footing in our research questions. She also provided really helpful papers that we could read to help bolster our understanding.

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- No idea she was a perfect instructional assistant

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- Absolutely the best IA I have ever had
- Having Ida as my TA was a lovely experience. She offered amazing feedback on my project and also helped me find other papers that would help me further with my research. She also provided guidance for my future classes and advice on how I could
- She was amazing! She was able to answer a lot of the questions I had, and explained difficult concepts in easy terms for me.

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

- 5 (55.6%): Major/Program Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Minor
- 0 (0.0%): College General Education
- 1 (11.1%): Elective
- 3 (33.3%): Interest
- 0 (0.0%): American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
- 0 (0.0%): Graduate Program Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION?**

- 3 (60.0%): Frequently
- 1 (20.0%): Sometimes
- 0 (0.0%): Seldom
- 0 (0.0%): Never
- 1 (20.0%): Not Applicable

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS**?

- 2 (40.0%): Frequently
- 1 (20.0%): Sometimes
- 1 (20.0%): Seldom
- 1 (20.0%): Never
- 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL?**

- 1 (20.0%): Frequently
- 1 (20.0%): Sometimes
- 0 (0.0%): Seldom
- 3 (60.0%): Never
- 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?

0 (0.0%):	Frequently
1 (20.0%):	Sometimes
0 (0.0%):	Seldom
3 (60.0%):	Never
1 (20.0%):	Not Applicable

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

3 (60.0%):	Frequently
1 (20.0%):	Sometimes
0 (0.0%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never
1 (20.0%):	Not Applicable

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 190 - Intro to Research in Economics (Vespa, Emanuel Ignacio)
Winter 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 8
Number of Students Enrolled: 30

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree
1 (12.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (12.5%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

6 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

5 (62.5%): Strongly Agree
1 (12.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (12.5%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (12.5%): Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

6 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (14.3%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

6 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Allowed and encouraged for time to talk in small groups and would check in to keep us on target.
- always encouraged us to participate in tutorials, had one-on-one office hours which was extremely helpful
- I appreciated the creation of a whatsapp group to communicate more easily with students and the TA. However, the assignments and overall tasks were disorganized in this class

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- I really enjoyed our discussions during our one-on-one office hours :) Talking through my ideas was extremely helpful in the process of refining my research question. It was a pleasure to get so much feedback from you, and you've really pushed me to think further each meeting. Thank you for always being so supportive and encouraging!

- she was very sweet and helpful and made you want to come to class
- Was very accessible throughout the course and very helpful in many different things.

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- grading the homeworks/assignments in a more timely manner would have helped to know how we were doing throughout the class!
- If assignments or expectations were more clearly visible on Canvas, that would have been more helpful since it felt like assignments were a little lost between late uploads to gradescope, expectations hidden somewhere in slides, extra resources in other modules, etc. If there was one assignment page somewhere with the slide details and resources included all in one (either canvas or gradescope) it would help me feel a lot more confident about the work I was submitting through the quarter. It didn't help that the TA consistently created the gradescope assignments on the day of and frequently moved deadlines, making it unfair to other students who made other compromises to finish their work on time.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- I really enjoyed the class and your support.

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

- 3 (25.0%): Major/Program Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Minor
- 3 (25.0%): College General Education
- 2 (16.7%): Elective
- 4 (33.3%): Interest
- 0 (0.0%): American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
- 0 (0.0%): Graduate Program Requirement
- 0 (0.0%): Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?

- 6 (75.0%): Frequently
- 1 (12.5%): Sometimes
- 1 (12.5%): Seldom
- 0 (0.0%): Never
- 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

3 (37.5%): Frequently
3 (37.5%): Sometimes
0 (0.0%): Seldom
1 (12.5%): Never
1 (12.5%): Not Applicable

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL?**

2 (25.0%): Frequently
2 (25.0%): Sometimes
2 (25.0%): Seldom
1 (12.5%): Never
1 (12.5%): Not Applicable

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?**

1 (12.5%): Frequently
0 (0.0%): Sometimes
1 (12.5%): Seldom
2 (25.0%): Never
4 (50.0%): Not Applicable

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

5 (62.5%): Frequently
3 (37.5%): Sometimes
0 (0.0%): Seldom
0 (0.0%): Never
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 190 - Intro to Research in Economics (Vespa, Emanuel Ignacio)
Winter 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 4
Number of Students Enrolled: 12

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

3 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

3 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

3 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

3 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
1 (25.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

3 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

3 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Spoke clearly and answered all questions effectively

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Went though the overleaf very well and helped with all confusion.

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- More communication on due dates would be nice. Sometimes was hard to know exactly something was due because the turn in link was not available on gradescope. It is not a massive deal however as I could find things out other ways.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

[No Responses]

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

2 (40.0%):	Major/Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Minor
1 (20.0%):	College General Education
0 (0.0%):	Elective
2 (40.0%):	Interest
0 (0.0%):	American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
0 (0.0%):	Graduate Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?

2 (50.0%):	Frequently
2 (50.0%):	Sometimes
0 (0.0%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

0 (0.0%):	Frequently
2 (50.0%):	Sometimes
1 (25.0%):	Seldom
1 (25.0%):	Never
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?

0 (0.0%):	Frequently
2 (50.0%):	Sometimes
2 (50.0%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?

0 (0.0%): Frequently
1 (25.0%): Sometimes
0 (0.0%): Seldom
0 (0.0%): Never
3 (75.0%): Not Applicable

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

2 (50.0%): Frequently
2 (50.0%): Sometimes
0 (0.0%): Seldom
0 (0.0%): Never
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 190 - Intro to Research in Economics (Vespa, Emanuel Ignacio)
Winter 2024

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 15
Number of Students Enrolled: 32

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

13 (92.9%): Strongly Agree
1 (7.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

13 (92.9%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No
1 (7.1%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

11 (78.6%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (7.1%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

12 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1: [No Response]

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

13 (100.0%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

13 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

12 (92.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (7.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

12 (92.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (7.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2: [No Response]

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

8 (61.5%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No
5 (38.5%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

8 (61.5%): Strongly Agree
2 (15.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3 (23.1%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

8 (61.5%): Strongly Agree
2 (15.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3 (23.1%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

9 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (16.7%): Agree
1 (8.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3: [No Response]

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

11 (84.6%): Strongly Agree
2 (15.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

13 (86.7%): Strongly Agree
1 (6.7%): Agree
1 (6.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Ida has consistently demonstrated an exceptional level of support and expertise as our TA. Her meticulous explanations for assignments are a testament to her dedication and proficiency. Each time she provides clarity, it's like a beacon guiding us through the complexities of the course material. Furthermore, her insightful contributions during class discussions have been invaluable in deepening our understanding. I am sincerely grateful for her commitment to our learning journey.
- Ida is the best!
- She is a very nice person with patience and strong academic knowledge, This is the 2nd time I meet her as my TA. I have received numerous helps from her.
- she is very enthusiastic about teaching despite me asked about Marco research idea that isn't in her primary field of focus. Tutorial sections are well organized, I can feel her willingness to put in the effort and provide a helpful response to students. One other thing I really appreciate is her willingness to support student beyond just the class material, sharing her experience from graduate school was something I found really unique and valuable for me.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.



Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva School of Global Policy and Strategy

GPEC 443 - GIS & Spatial Data Analysis (McCord, Gordon Carlos)
Fall 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 38
Number of Students Enrolled: 112

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

31 (83.8%): Strongly Agree
6 (16.2%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

34 (89.5%): Strongly Agree
3 (7.9%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (2.6%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

33 (86.8%): Strongly Agree
5 (13.2%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

27 (73.0%): Strongly Agree
5 (13.5%): Agree
1 (2.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (10.8%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

34 (89.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (10.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

30 (78.9%): Strongly Agree
7 (18.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (2.6%): Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Always patient with us. Explained the material clearly.
- AMAZING TA. THE BEST TA I HAVE EVER HAD. Responds promptly to email, is kind, knowledgeable, understanding, patient. She helped me any time I got stuck or needed clarity. She is very good at using QGIS and knows a lot about it. She's very efficient too; if you have a problem or point of confusion, she listens intently and answers effectively. Highly recommend and give praise to Ida.
- Even the brief times that I interacted with this TA, she gave me a wealth of information and knowledge that helped me tremendously. In fact, when I first met her, I thought she was already a professor, that's how professional and engaging she was. She is an extremely effective teacher.
- Great job supporting in labs and on assignments! Really thorough and informative.
- Ida is incredibly knowledgeable and warm. She really does have an incredible grasp of the material and made learning easier, at least for me.
- Ida is very helpful as a TA; her explanations are clear and easy to understand.

- Ida provides support and feedback in a kind and direct way.
- Ida spoke very clearly during office hours, was open to questions, and created a supportive learning environment.
- Ida was always welcoming. She always encouraged students to attend the TA sessions and ask questions. In addition, if there were times in which the student wasn't able to come to office hours or TA sessions for that week, she would be accommodating to find a time that would work best for both of them.
- Ida was friendly and kind :)
- Put up individual office hours to give us direct feedback about our projects
- She was always very nice and willing to offer her time and help.
- She was extremely helpful during the Lab I attended. She was able to facilitate the learning environment and took charge of the room very well.
- Yes, she was amazing and constantly welcomed questions. She would always devote time to help individually, which created a good space for learning and troubleshooting the material.
- Yes. Ida made the classroom environment a safe place to ask questions and learn.

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Any problem you asked her to help with, she somehow always had an answer. She always gave suggestions for improvement that was doable and gave you confidence to move forward.
- Coming to office hours. I think attending the TA session wasn't as helpful as I thought it would be in helping me succeed in the course. However, attending each TA's office hours was definitely beneficial. Overall, Ida was a lovely person and always very accommodating.
- helped break down complex topics well. Really enjoyed the one-on-one support.
- Her office hours are very helpful, especially for feedback and help with labs.
- Her teaching style was very engaging.
- I came into this class very nervous, and I doubted my ability to succeed. Ida was one of the first people to make me feel like I can actually do GIS. She made me feel smart in a way I didn't feel about myself before.
- I like the fact that she did like a mini 10 min lecture or advice at the beginning of the class, it's usually very helpful.
- Ida is probably the best teaching assistant I have ever had. Not only very extremely clear and effective in assisting students acquiring a new skill, but also does it with enthusiasm and sheer commitment to her role. She approaches teaching with modesty and compassion that helps students believe that they can advance.
- She explains things very well and shows interest in your project when providing suggestions.
- She helped me with learning R. We did not use the R studio at Rady so she was able to help me with my questions.

- She made everything seem achievable! Some of these assignments just felt overwhelming at times, so I was grateful for her patience and advise to take it all one part at a time!
- She remembered about my progress in my work so she was familiar with my work already when I needed to reach out again for help
- TA sessions were very well coordinated with the rest of the class. Ida did an excellent job balancing presenting information and giving us the opportunity for us to navigate the lab assignments ourselves. She provided very helpful feedback on the individual project and was very helpful in answering questions!
- Very clear, effective teaching. She was also extremely helpful one-on-one for my final project.
- Was happy to help people individually if they needed help and gave us dedicated time to work on our most important projects.
- Yes, she had a very warm vibe to her that created the best TA section of all, in my opinion.

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- I think scheduling time during their office hours would be great because sometimes students may all come at once, and they may take too long to ask their questions. Under this first-come, first-served policy, not all TAs may be able to meet all students' needs. In that regard, what I found helpful was that, when discussing times for the final project discussion, IDA would send an Excel document weeks in advance so that students who wanted to discuss their final projects could sign up for the 10-minute allotment. Professor McCord, in the same regard, did the same thing. That said, I think it would be highly beneficial to try to recreate this organization for office hours sometime next year.
- No comment, her section was perfect as is.
- No notes!
- No suggestion, amazing TA.
- no thoughts here, you did a gerat job!
- Personally, I do not love independent work time and would love for more time to be instructional. Ida really is brilliant so it would be nice to see her in work flow more.
- This is not really a criticism, but I wish Ida's session was earlier in the afternoon because the traffic after 4:30 is crazy.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- Honestly, one of the best TAs I've ever had.
- I highly recommend her! And hope I have her as a TA again
- I really appreciate having Ida as the TA for GIS; she's really amazing. I hope to have her again for the subsequent GIS class next quarter.

- Ida did a fantastic job in guiding me through GIS theories and practices. I feel like I really learned a lot from the TA sessions, as compared to the sessions with the professor. Ida has always been kind and extremely helpful with me as I was struggling with different assignments. I would love to take another course with Ida being a TA.
- Ida is excellent. I really wish she was going to TA for the next two GIS courses in the series. I learned so much from her. She is kind and patient, and enthusiastic to help in any way she can. She took so much time out of her personal schedule to meet with any student in need of assistance. Students who attended other Lab sessions ended up switching to her lab due to word of mouth of her being the best teacher. I was one of those students. Students from other labs also ended up booking one-on-one sessions with her to help with their final. Ida should TA for this class for the foreseeable future.
- Ida is genuinely the best TA I've ever had.
- IDA is very smart, and she is a lovely person! She deserves all the good things in this world for listening to me while stressed about the class. She would always be encouraging and having that positive attitude definitely helps quite a lot.
- Nope, she's the best!
- Thank you!
- Thank you, Ida!

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

11 (18.6%):	Major/Program Requirement
1 (1.7%):	Minor
0 (0.0%):	College General Education
19 (32.2%):	Elective
20 (33.9%):	Interest
0 (0.0%):	American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
1 (1.7%):	Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
7 (11.9%):	Graduate Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION?**

17 (44.7%):	Frequently
12 (31.6%):	Sometimes
7 (18.4%):	Seldom
1 (2.6%):	Never
1 (2.6%):	Not Applicable

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

8 (21.1%):	Frequently
15 (39.5%):	Sometimes
7 (18.4%):	Seldom
6 (15.8%):	Never
2 (5.3%):	Not Applicable

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL?**

6 (15.8%):	Frequently
9 (23.7%):	Sometimes
11 (28.9%):	Seldom
10 (26.3%):	Never
2 (5.3%):	Not Applicable

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?**

3 (8.1%):	Frequently
3 (8.1%):	Sometimes
2 (5.4%):	Seldom
15 (40.5%):	Never
14 (37.8%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

9 (23.7%):	Frequently
13 (34.2%):	Sometimes
5 (13.2%):	Seldom
3 (7.9%):	Never
8 (21.1%):	Not Applicable

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Idaliya Grigoryeva
School of Global Policy and Strategy**

GPEC 443 - GIS & Spatial Data Analysis (McCord, Gordon Carlos)
Fall 2024

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 24
Number of Students Enrolled: 65

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

20 (83.3%): Strongly Agree
3 (12.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

21 (87.5%): Strongly Agree
2 (8.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

19 (79.2%): Strongly Agree
5 (20.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

20 (83.3%): Strongly Agree
2 (8.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (8.3%): Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

20 (83.3%): Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

18 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
4 (16.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (8.3%): Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Ida's sections were always very useful and informative. I was always looking forward to going to her section because I knew that she would be able to assist with any questions I had.
- She always did it
- She created a welcoming and supportive learning environment where as a collective went through tech issues and ways to overcome them
- She formed a what'sapp group chat for us to ask questions anytime and she added so many additional office hours for us
- structured office hours and helpful feedback and guidance. It was clear that she was there to help and took time out of her schedule to host additional office hours before assignments were due.
- Very helpful and goes out of her way to work with students
- Very supportive and always try to help us outside the classtime.
- Went around to help each individually after going over the lab or assignment details for the whole class and reviewing relevant concepts

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Always get feedback and response after send the question about the course material.
- Ida went out of her way to be available to answer questions or schedule additional meetings when we needed help. Her instruction was always clear and useful. She would help students approach issues from different directions based on what worked best for that student.
- Review during the session
- She had one-on-one sessions to go over my specific questions and ideas
- She was very helpful
- very good and responsible. Good at explaining course theories and materials. Recommendation

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- I think more communication across the teaching team (with the other TAs and the professor) would have improved this class.
- Nothing! She was great
- Perfect at all.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- Always willing to go the extra mile to help students e.g. staying past the lab end time to continue answering questions
- I have only attended this TA's lab once, and her style is very different from the other TA's. She leads everyone through the steps, and I found this approach very helpful. In addition to explaining the steps, she also offers practical advice that helps students complete the assignments. Unfortunately, I can't attend her TA session on Wednesdays, so I have to go to the other TA's lab instead.
- It was a very helpful experience
- She is the most responsible ta I've ever been with and I even learned much more from Ida than prof. Gordon and way way way much more than the other ta Rachel.
- She was an amazing TA!!!

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

15 (46.9%): Major/Program Requirement
0 (0.0%): Minor
0 (0.0%): College General Education
8 (25.0%): Elective
9 (28.1%): Interest
0 (0.0%): American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%): Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
0 (0.0%): Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
0 (0.0%): Graduate Program Requirement
0 (0.0%): Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION?**

17 (73.9%): Frequently
3 (13.0%): Sometimes
3 (13.0%): Seldom
0 (0.0%): Never
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

12 (57.1%): Frequently
5 (23.8%): Sometimes
2 (9.5%): Seldom
2 (9.5%): Never
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
3: [No Response]

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL?**

8 (36.4%): Frequently
3 (13.6%): Sometimes
7 (31.8%): Seldom
3 (13.6%): Never
1 (4.5%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?

7 (31.8%):	Frequently
2 (9.1%):	Sometimes
4 (18.2%):	Seldom
6 (27.3%):	Never
3 (13.6%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

11 (47.8%):	Frequently
8 (34.8%):	Sometimes
2 (8.7%):	Seldom
1 (4.3%):	Never
1 (4.3%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 100A - Microeconomics A (Famulari, Melissa)
Summer Session I 2024

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 14
Number of Students Enrolled: 32

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

6 (42.9%): Strongly Agree
4 (28.6%): Agree
4 (28.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

6 (42.9%): Yes
7 (50.0%): No
1 (7.1%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
5 (35.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (14.3%): Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
5 (35.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (7.1%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

8 (57.1%): Yes
5 (35.7%): No
1 (7.1%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

6 (42.9%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
3 (21.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (7.1%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (14.3%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

6 (42.9%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
2 (14.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (7.1%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (14.3%): Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree
5 (35.7%): Agree
4 (28.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

7 (50.0%): Yes
5 (35.7%): No
2 (14.3%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

5 (38.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (30.8%): Agree
3 (23.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (7.7%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

5 (38.5%): Strongly Agree
5 (38.5%): Agree
2 (15.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (7.7%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree
7 (50.0%): Agree
2 (14.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree
7 (50.0%): Agree
2 (14.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

5 (35.7%):	Strongly Agree
7 (50.0%):	Agree
2 (14.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- all good
- Best TA I ever had, she replied to emails quickly and taught me everything with a heart.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 100A - Microeconomics A (Famulari, Melissa)
Summer Session 1 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 22
Number of Students Enrolled: 37

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

14 (63.6%): Strongly Agree
5 (22.7%): Agree
3 (13.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

14 (63.6%): Yes
8 (36.4%): No
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

10 (45.5%): Strongly Agree
7 (31.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
5 (22.7%): Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

10 (45.5%):	Strongly Agree
8 (36.4%):	Agree
4 (18.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

21 (100.0%):	Yes
0 (0.0%):	No
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

10 (45.5%):	Strongly Agree
10 (45.5%):	Agree
1 (4.5%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.5%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

13 (59.1%):	Strongly Agree
8 (36.4%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.5%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

13 (59.1%):	Strongly Agree
8 (36.4%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (4.5%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

21 (100.0%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

11 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
9 (40.9%): Agree
1 (4.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.5%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

11 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
10 (45.5%): Agree
1 (4.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

13 (59.1%): Strongly Agree
6 (27.3%): Agree
2 (9.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (4.5%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

12 (54.5%): Strongly Agree
10 (45.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

11 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
9 (40.9%):	Agree
1 (4.5%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.5%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Great TA! A pleasure to have her as a TA!
- Ida is such a helpful TA, she always gave the most of herself to help us. I sincerely appreciate her time!
- Ida was fantastic. She went through many examples and reiterated very important specifics that were brushed over during the normal lecture. She really helped me understand the material and was a huge help.
- She was pretty disorganized during discussion sections cause she always drags on solving problems so much so that she is not able to finish going over everything. Also she does not go straight to the point when solving problems, making it very hard to keep up with exactly what is going on.
- Solid IA.
- Your explanations were great and detail but I would say if we only have an hour or so to review/ask questions, trying to be mindful of how much time each explanation takes is critical. When we only get to do 2-3 problems that people may not be struggling with, it can feel like the supplemental hours are not the best use of time, especially a week before testing. Also, probably get the ipad thing figured out. Other than that, you were great!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 100A - Microeconomics A (Famulari, Melissa)
Spring 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 15
Number of Students Enrolled: 60

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

3 (20.0%): Strongly Agree
7 (46.7%): Agree
1 (6.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree
3 (20.0%): Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

9 (60.0%): Yes
5 (33.3%): No
1 (6.7%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

6 (40.0%): Strongly Agree
4 (26.7%): Agree
1 (6.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree
3 (20.0%): Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

7 (46.7%):	Strongly Agree
5 (33.3%):	Agree
2 (13.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (6.7%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

14 (93.3%):	Yes
0 (0.0%):	No
1 (6.7%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

7 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
6 (42.9%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (7.1%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

7 (46.7%):	Strongly Agree
6 (40.0%):	Agree
1 (6.7%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (6.7%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

6 (40.0%):	Strongly Agree
5 (33.3%):	Agree
2 (13.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (6.7%):	Disagree
1 (6.7%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

11 (78.6%): Yes
1 (7.1%): No
2 (14.3%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

6 (40.0%): Strongly Agree
6 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree
2 (13.3%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

5 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
5 (33.3%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree
2 (13.3%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

5 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
7 (46.7%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

7 (46.7%): Strongly Agree
6 (40.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.7%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

7 (46.7%):	Strongly Agree
5 (33.3%):	Agree
2 (13.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (6.7%):	Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- does not understand the content, always late to discussion sessions
her discussion sessions review the same thing over and over again and is not worth going to
cannot answer questions
basically holds a lecture instead of going through problems
- Ida is the best TA.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 100A - Microeconomics A (Famulari, Melissa)
Spring 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 42
Number of Students Enrolled: 167

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

20 (51.3%): Strongly Agree
7 (17.9%): Agree
3 (7.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
9 (23.1%): Not Applicable
3: [No Response]

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

15 (37.5%): Yes
17 (42.5%): No
8 (20.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

20 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (5.0%): Agree
4 (10.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
14 (35.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

23 (57.5%): Strongly Agree
6 (15.0%): Agree
11 (27.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2: [No Response]

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

31 (75.6%): Yes
3 (7.3%): No
7 (17.1%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

27 (67.5%): Strongly Agree
7 (17.5%): Agree
1 (2.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
5 (12.5%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

26 (65.0%): Strongly Agree
8 (20.0%): Agree
2 (5.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (10.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

27 (67.5%): Strongly Agree
5 (12.5%): Agree
6 (15.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.5%): Disagree
1 (2.5%): Strongly Disagree
2: [No Response]

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

38 (95.0%): Yes
2 (5.0%): No
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
2: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

32 (78.0%): Strongly Agree
6 (14.6%): Agree
2 (4.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (2.4%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

30 (73.2%): Strongly Agree
9 (22.0%): Agree
1 (2.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (2.4%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

31 (75.6%): Strongly Agree
6 (14.6%): Agree
3 (7.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.4%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1: [No Response]

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

32 (76.2%): Strongly Agree
9 (21.4%): Agree
1 (2.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

29 (69.0%): Strongly Agree
11 (26.2%): Agree
2 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Although I did not attend her office hours, I truly appreciate her for taking time to do and record a review session before exams. Upon watching the review, I better understood concepts which I heavily struggled on. Ida isn't the TA I started the quarter with but I applaud her because I know she put in work for facilitate our lives as students! She's a gem. She came to my discussion section week 4 or 5 and I couldn't forget it because the way she explained topics made sense to me more than in lecture. Please keep hiring her.
- Amazing review sessions, helped me learn and understand much better.
- Awesome job this quarter, thanks for the help!
- Great TA!
- I like your review session. Thank you!
- I would definitely recommend Ida as a teaching assistant to future students who need to take this course. I attended her exam review for my first midterm in this course and I think she did a great job. I really liked how she went into detail for each of the concepts. She had a step-by-step approach to each of the review questions she went over.

I really liked her personality and willingness to help us students.

- She's the most helpful TA in this course. Her midterm review sessions literally covered everything we need to know for the exams. Also, even if she was not assigned to do the midterm2 review, she still did it and helped us during her discussion. Thank you Ida!
- She's great and very helpful during study for the exams
- The review materials are well planned and the scope of the exam is very clear.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.



**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 121 - Applied Econometrics & Data (Vogl, Tom Saul)
Fall 2023

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 26
Number of Students Enrolled: 36**

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

17 (65.4%):	Strongly Agree
4 (15.4%):	Agree
2 (7.7%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
3 (11.5%):	Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

18 (69.2%):	Yes
7 (26.9%):	No
1 (3.8%):	Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

14 (53.8%):	Strongly Agree
7 (26.9%):	Agree
1 (3.8%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
4 (15.4%):	Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

16 (61.5%):	Strongly Agree
6 (23.1%):	Agree
4 (15.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

26 (100.0%):	Yes
0 (0.0%):	No
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

19 (73.1%):	Strongly Agree
7 (26.9%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

20 (76.9%):	Strongly Agree
6 (23.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

20 (76.9%):	Strongly Agree
6 (23.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

9 (34.6%): Yes
2 (7.7%): No
15 (57.7%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

11 (44.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (12.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
11 (44.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

11 (42.3%): Strongly Agree
2 (7.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
13 (50.0%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

13 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
6 (23.1%): Agree
6 (23.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (3.8%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

20 (76.9%): Strongly Agree
6 (23.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

20 (76.9%): Strongly Agree
6 (23.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- /
- Best TA ever!!
Super enjoy your session:)
- I don't have any comment apart from the fact that Ida was phenomenal
- Ida tried her best....
She's too serious. Too intense. Relax, slow it down.
- Ida was incredibly friendly and had a fantastic teaching style
- Ida, you saved me.
Thanks to you I don't feel like the dumbest person at UCSD. You are so polite, patient, and supportive.
Thank you!
- she is a very good person with strong academic capacity.
- She's super nice ??

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 121 - Applied Econometrics & Data (Vogl, Tom Saul)
Fall 2022

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 17
Number of Students Enrolled: 37

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

11 (64.7%): Strongly Agree
4 (23.5%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (5.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

12 (70.6%): Yes
4 (23.5%): No
1 (5.9%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

9 (52.9%): Strongly Agree
3 (17.6%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (23.5%): Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

8 (47.1%):	Strongly Agree
6 (35.3%):	Agree
3 (17.6%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

5 (29.4%):	Yes
4 (23.5%):	No
8 (47.1%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

4 (23.5%):	Strongly Agree
2 (11.8%):	Agree
1 (5.9%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
10 (58.8%):	Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

4 (23.5%):	Strongly Agree
1 (5.9%):	Agree
1 (5.9%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
11 (64.7%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

7 (41.2%):	Strongly Agree
4 (23.5%):	Agree
5 (29.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (5.9%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

2 (11.8%): Yes
8 (47.1%): No
7 (41.2%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

2 (11.8%): Strongly Agree
2 (11.8%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
12 (70.6%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

1 (5.9%): Strongly Agree
3 (17.6%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
12 (70.6%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

4 (23.5%): Strongly Agree
7 (41.2%): Agree
6 (35.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

12 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (18.8%): Agree
1 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

10 (58.8%): Strongly Agree
5 (29.4%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (5.9%): Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Ida is a responsible and very kind TA to us!
- Ida was a very helpful and caring TA for the course. She graded very fairly and was always available to lend help to other students.
- Knows the content very well, can provide good insight on how to approach the problem sets.
- She is a sweet TA and very responsive to email / gradescope requests. I had several regrade questions regarding homework, she helped me fast and effectively. But grading was a bit harsh for the first few homework.
- She was incredibly helpful and flexible with her office hours. The problem sets are relatively difficult in this class, and she was instrumental in helping me both understand the questions and guide my thinking in the right direction. Would recommend her completely, did an amazing job.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.

**Student IA Evaluation for Idaliya Grigoryeva
Department of Economics**

ECON 171 - Decisions Under Uncertainty (Levkoff, Steven B.)
Summer Session 1 2022

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 6
Number of Students Enrolled: 147

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (16.7%): Agree
1 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (33.3%): Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

1 (16.7%): Yes
3 (50.0%): No
2 (33.3%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
2 (33.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (33.3%): Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (16.7%): Agree
2 (33.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (16.7%): Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

4 (66.7%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No
2 (33.3%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

1 (16.7%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (33.3%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
2 (33.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (16.7%): Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

1 (16.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (33.3%): Agree
2 (33.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (16.7%): Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

0 (0.0%): Yes
1 (16.7%): No
5 (83.3%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

1 (16.7%): Strongly Agree
1 (16.7%): Agree
1 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3 (50.0%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
1 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3 (50.0%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (16.7%): Agree
1 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
2 (33.3%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
2 (33.3%): Agree
1 (16.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (16.7%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

2 (33.3%):	Strongly Agree
2 (33.3%):	Agree
1 (16.7%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (16.7%):	Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- I only went to Idaliya's discussion once. She is well-organize but only cover exactly same thing in the class.
- Please do not waste department's money on her. A lot of UIAs do better job than she does as a TA, pay them instead of her!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.